

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/660,473	09/12/2003	Joseph Tabe	6316		
7590 08/16/2005			EXAMINER		
JOSEPH TABE			NGUYEN, TAI T		
Suite 315 525 Thayer Av	e.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Silver Spring, MD 20910			2632	:	
			DATE MAILED: 08/16/2005	5 .	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		الب						
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/660,473		TABE, JOSEPH				
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Tai T. Nguye	en	2632				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the d	over sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	Idress			
THE - Exte afte - If th - If NO - Fail Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repure to reply specified above, the maximum statutory period under the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event ly within the statuto will apply and will e e, cause the applica	however, may a reply be time ry minimum of thirty (30) days xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from t tition to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timel the mailing date of this co				
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 J	lune 2005.						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under t	Ex parte Quay	de, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the application	۱.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election req	uirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 17 June 2005 is/are: a	a) accepted	or b)□ objected to b	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note	the attached Office	Action or form PT	TO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea	ts have been ts have been prity document	received. received in Applications as have been receive	on No	Stage			
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certifie	d copies not received	i .				
Attachmen	t(s)							
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat	te				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date		Notice of Informal Pa	tent Application (PTC	D-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Throughout the claims, the phrase "such as", for example, in claims 1, 3, 10, 14, 19, 21, and 27 renders the claims indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim 1, line 7, the phrase "randomly patrolling" is unclear. In line 18, it is unclear whether the "a transmitting means" is the same "transmitting means" as that of line 13. In line 18, it is unclear whether the "a receiving means" is the same "receiving means" as that of line 11. In line 19, it is unclear whether the "a sensor" is the same "sensor means" as that of line 12. In line 19, it is unclear what applicant intended by the "defined principles of detection". In line 21, it is unclear which "sensor means" is meant by the "at least a sensor means". In line 25, applicant should clarify what applicant intends by "other plurality security agencies". In line 31, it is unclear whether the "a jacket lining" is intended to be of the "wearable jacket" of line 30.

Claim 2, line 2, there is no antecedent basis for "said interactive processing means". In line 2, it is unclear whether the "a control means" is intended to be the

Page 3

"control means" of line 27 of claim 1. In line 5, it is unclear what functions the "communication there-between" is between. In line 6, it is unclear what applicant means by "enabling improve signal". In lines 6-7, it is unclear what applicant means by "a pattern of recognized detection".

Claim 3, line 2, there is no antecedent basis for "said radio frequency signal". In line 5, it is unclear whether the "a transmitter" is the same "transmitter" of that of claim 1, line 15. In line 9, it is unclear whether the "means for detecting" is the same as the "detection means" of claim 1, line 10. In line 10, applicant should clarify what "sensors" are intended by the "sensors embedded in at least a silicon substrate". In line 20, applicant should clarify what is meant by "rotation means". In lines 21-33, it is unclear what "transmitting means" are intended by "a transmitting means", "enabled transmitting means" and "said transmitting means".

Claim 4, line 8, it is unclear whether the "a designed outfit" is intended to be the "outfit" of claim 1, line 32.

Claim 9, line 3, it is unclear whether the "a control means" is the same "a control means" as that of claim 1, line 24.

Claim 10, line 6, the phrase "randomly patrolling" is unclear. In line 20, there is no antecedent basis for "processing means".

Claim 11, line 3, it is unclear whether the "a sensory platform" is intended to be the "detection platform" of claim 10, line 4.

Art Unit: 2632

Claim 12, line 2, it is unclear whether the "a wearable outfit" is intended to be the "outfit" of claim 11, line 2. In line 5, it is unclear whether the "a control means" is intended to be the "control means" of claim 10, line 13.

Claim 13, line 2, it is unclear whether the "a wearable outfit" is intended to be the "outfit" of claim 11, line 2. In line 6, it is unclear whether the "a control means" is intended to be the "control means" of claim 10, line 13.

In claim 14, line 2, it is unclear whether the "an outfit" is intended to be the "outfit" of claim 11, line 2. In line 13, it is unclear what applicant intends by "a randomly patrolling environment".

In claim 15, line 4, there is no antecedent basis for "sensory circuitry".

In claim 16, line 3, it is unclear what applicant intends by "a randomly patrolling environment". It appears that the claim is incomplete.

In claim 17, lines 4-5, it is unclear what applicant intends by the "analyte" being limited to a "metal oxide and/or semiconductor gas sensor". In line 11, it is unclear whether the "an outfit" is intended to be the "wearable outfit" of line 2. In line 13, it is unclear what is meant by the "at least security agencies thereon".

In claim 18, line 2, "senor" should read as ----sensor----. In line 2, it is unclear whether the "a wearable outfit" is intended to be the same as the "wearable outfit" of claim 17, line 2. In line 6, it is unclear whether the "an antenna means" is the same "antenna means" of claim 16, line 6.

In claim 19, lines 2-3, it is unclear whether the "a wearable outfit" is intended to be the same as the "wearable outfit" of claim 17, line 2. In line 6, it is unclear whether

the "a detection platform" is intended to be the same "detection platform" of claim 16, line 7.

In claim 21, line 4, it is unclear whether the "a control means" is the same "control means" as that of claim 16, line 9. In lines 4-5, it is unclear what is meant by the "wireless communication there-between". In line 11, it is unclear what is meant by the "enabling said communication thereon".

In claim 25, line 3, it is unclear whether the "a wind energy source" is the same "wind energy source" of claim 24, line 2.

In claim 27, line 3, it is unclear what applicant intends by "a randomly patrolling environment". In line 20, it is unclear whether the "a sensing means" is intended to be formed of the sensors of lines 16-19. In line 23, it is unclear whether the "a platform" is intended to be the "detection platform" of lines 21-22. In lines 27-29, applicant should clarify the operation of the converting means. It is unclear what is meant by a "mass destruction frequency" and "digital communication signal thereon".

In claim 28, line 4, it is unclear whether the "network for sensing" is intended to be the same as "the sensing means" of claim 27, line 23. In line 11, it is unclear what applicant intends by "communication with security agencies thereon".

In claim 29, line 2, it is unclear whether the "an outfit" is intended to be the "outfit" of claim 27, line 2. In line 3, it is unclear whether the "a detection platform" is intended to by the "sensing means" of claim 27, line 23. In line 4, applicant should clarify the specific interaction of the detection means with the detection platform and outfit.

Art Unit: 2632

In claim 30, line 3, it is unclear whether the "a sensory platform" is the same as the "sensing means" of claim 27, line 3.

In claim 31, line 3, it is unclear whether the "an outfit" is intended to be the "outfit" of claim 27, line 2. In line 10, it is unclear whether applicant intends the control means and processor means to be the same control means and processor means of claim 28, line 7. In line 14, applicant should clarify what is intended by "security agencies thereon".

In claim 32, line 9, it is unclear whether the "a sensor means" and "an antenna means" are intended to be the same as those of claim 27.

In claims 33-45, it appears that claims 33-45 have similar indefiniteness.

Applicant should make appropriate corrections. It is further suggested that applicant extensively review all of the claims for grammatical and antecedents.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Jacobsen et al. (US 6,198,394).

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tai T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2961. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30am-5:00pm..

Application/Control Number: 10/660,473 Page 7

Art Unit: 2632

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel J. Wu can be reached on (571) 272-2964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tai T. Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 2632

August 13, 2005